WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION	
		Leshay Marie Relf	Case Number: <u>CR-09-317-007-PHX-FJM</u>	
		e with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3° s are established: (Check one or both,	143(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I conclude that the , as applicable.)	
	the defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.			
	the defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There is probable cause to belie	eve that the defendant has committed	
		an offense for which a m 801 et seq., 951 et seq,	naximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. $\S\S$ or 46 U.S.C. App. \S 1901 et seq.	
		an offense under 18 U.S	S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).	
		an offense listed in 18 U imprisonment of ten yea	J.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of ars or more is prescribed.	
		an offense involving a m	ninor victim prescribed in1	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted conditions will reasonably assure	d the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of e the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alternative Findings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).		
\boxtimes	(4)	The defendant has failed to prov	ve by clear and convincing evidence that she does not pose a risk of flight.	
		PART II WRITTE	EN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony a as to danger that:	and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence	

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-cr-00317-SPL Document 446 Filed 06/28/12 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		
	The de	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the petition, except:		
	In addition: The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of probation.			
hearing	The Co	ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Probation Office which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the matter.		
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
appeal.	ctions fa The de Inited St	fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in cility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a courates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
District the date with the	a copy of Court. It of serv	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to the Probation Office at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the record of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections to court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a)		
	on Offic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify the e sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Probation an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.		
Date:	<u>J</u> ر	Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge		